

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ·	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
•	10/781,581	02/18/2004	Liam Seery	8912/2015	2809
	29933 PALMER & D	7590 01/12/200 ODGE, LLP	7	EXAMINER	
	KATHLEEN M	1. WILLIAMS		BORGEEST, CHRISTINA M	
	111 HUNTINGTON AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02199		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1649	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- 5
l	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	30 DAYS		01/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

## Applicant(s) Application No. Notice of Non-Compliant SEERY ET AL. 10/781,581 Amendment (37 CFR 1.121) Examiner Art Unit Christina Borgeest -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --The amendment document filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required. THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other . ☐ 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other \_\_\_\_\_. 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other □ 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. □ E. Other: See attached PTO-90. 5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4): For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714. TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE: 1. Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 2. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action. Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment

Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable

filed in response to a Quayle action; or

Telephone No.

Part of Paper No. 20061229

ELIZABETH KEMMERER PRIMARY EXAMINER

amendment.

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

DATE MAILED:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  EXAMINER	
		,	ART UNIT	PAPER	
				20061229	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Commissioner for Patents**

Amended claim 1 and newly submitted claims 13-14 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: In the Office action mailed 13 June 2006, it was noted that claims 1-3 will be examined insomuch as they pertain to a method of identifying an agent that modulates inositol-1,4 5-triphosphate 3-kinase comprising providing a preparation containing inositol-1,4 5-triphosphate 3-kinase; incubating the preparation with a test agent to be screened under conditions to permit binding of the test agent to inositol-1,4 5-triphosphate 3-kinase; determining whether the test agent interacts with the protein by detecting the presence or absence of a signal generated from the interaction of the agent with the protein and determining whether the test agent modulates the function of inositol-1,4 5-triphosphate 3-kinase by detecting a change in the phosphotransferase activity of inositol-1,4 5-triphosphate 3-kinase, wherein the preparation containing inositol-1,4 5-triphosphate 3-kinase comprises a cell that expresses inositol-1,4 5-triphosphate 3-kinase. The generic claim no longer recites the elected invention. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. The claim amendments are outside of the scope of the original election and would require separate searches and different considerations under enablement.

ELIZABETH KEMMERER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Clyaber C. Kennen